ResearchGate

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370983804

Spiritual Theory of Everything: A Mathematical Approach to Infinity, God, and the Nature of Reality Introduction

Preprint · May 2023

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.15288.93442

CITATIONS
0

2 authors, including:

Douglas C Youvan

201 PUBLICATIONS **5,538** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

(anti-) Biological Warfare View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Douglas C Youvan on 24 May 2023.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

Spiritual Theory of Everything: A Mathematical Approach to Infinity, God, and the Nature of Reality

Douglas C. Youvan and QAIE Virgo

doug@youvan.com

May 24, 2023

Introduction:

In the quest to comprehend the vast complexities of the universe, both science and spirituality have proposed various theories to explain the structure and nature of reality. Each provides unique perspectives, yet they often exist in parallel, seldom intersecting. This paper introduces a unifying concept known as the Spiritual Theory of Everything (STOEM), a novel framework that integrates scientific, mathematical, and spiritual principles into a single unified theory.

STOEM seeks to address the fundamental questions that have long puzzled humanity: What is the nature of the universe? Is there an underlying structure that governs all of existence? How does the spiritual aspect of human consciousness relate to the physical universe? The theory posits an infinite system of fractals, each with infinite variables, running in parallel and interacting with each other in a continuously iterative process, analogous to the passage of time. In this framework, God is conceptualized as the

source of this infinite fractal, introducing a profound integration of spiritual belief with the proposed mathematical model.

Moreover, this work extends the concept to accommodate an orthogonal dimension representing the spiritual aspect of humanity, thereby encompassing human experience within the realm of the physical universe. STOEM proposes an interconnected, iterative model of the cosmos where spiritual and physical realities are not separate but integrated components of a vast, dynamic, and intricate system.

This paper presents the theoretical underpinnings of STOEM, exploring its mathematical foundations, and discussing its potential implications and relationships with existing theories in physics, theology, and philosophy. In doing so, we hope to stimulate dialogue and exploration at the intersection of science and spirituality, and perhaps inch closer towards a more holistic understanding of the universe in which we exist.

I still like the idea of SToE(m) with an infinite number of fractal equations running in parallel, each with an infinite number of variables, all feeding back to each other per iteration (analogous to time) with the spirituality of man outside on orthogonal to the fractal and instantaneously connected to God. Let's see if we can start to build up the fractal notation here. Please write a simple fractal equation.

Fractals are generally expressed as iterative functions, where the output of one stage is used as the input of the next stage. A

classic example of a simple fractal is the Mandelbrot set, which is defined by a simple equation:

$Z_{n+1} = Z_n^2 + C$

In this equation:

- Z is a complex number that represents a point in the complex plane.
- n is the stage number or iteration number.
- C is a complex constant that represents a point in the complex plane.

The function is iterated, meaning that the result of the function for a given Z (Z_{n+1}) becomes the input (Z_n) for the next iteration. The behavior of the function over many iterations determines whether a point C is in the Mandelbrot set.

While this is a relatively simple fractal equation, the complexity and beauty of the resulting figures are a result of the iterative, feedback-driven process. The values of Z spiral out towards infinity for certain values of C, while for others, they remain bounded within a certain range, creating the complex and intricate shapes of the Mandelbrot set.

Remember, however, that this equation represents just a slice of the infinite possibilities when it comes to fractals. Other more complex fractals can be formed by tweaking the formula or using completely different formulas altogether.

Now, write two identical fractal equations that can run in parallel and use different variables for each.

Let's start with two similar but separate fractal equations based on the Mandelbrot set. We will use complex variables Z1 and C1 for the first equation, and Z2 and C2 for the second equation.

Fractal Equation 1:

Z1_(n+1) = Z1_n^2 + C1

Fractal Equation 2:

Z2_(n+1) = Z2_n^2 + C2

In these equations:

- Z1, Z2 are complex numbers representing points in their respective complex planes. They will evolve independently based on their own iterative processes.
- n is the stage number or iteration number.
- C1, C2 are complex constants that represent points in their respective complex planes.

These functions are iterated independently. The results of each function for given Zs (Z1_(n+1), Z2_(n+1)) become the inputs (Z1_n, Z2_n) for the next iterations. The behavior of each function over many iterations determines whether their corresponding points (C1, C2) are in their respective Mandelbrot sets.

These two equations, while similar, represent two independent fractal processes. They generate different fractal patterns depending on the initial conditions and values of C1 and C2.

Now, per iteration, write notation showing the results of each iteration for both fractals is feedback to both fractals for successive iterations. We will call this a 2x2 fractal.

We can create a system of interconnected fractals, where the results of each fractal's iterations influence both fractals in the next iteration. For such a 2x2 fractal system, we would modify the original equations to include terms from each other's calculations:

Fractal Equation 1:

$Z1_{(n+1)} = Z1_n^2 + C1 + f(Z2_n)$

Fractal Equation 2:

$Z2_{(n+1)} = Z2_n^2 + C2 + g(Z1_n)$

Here, f(Z2_n) and g(Z1_n) are functions that transform the result of one fractal's previous iteration into a form suitable for affecting the next iteration of the other fractal. Exactly what these functions are depends on how you want the two fractals to interact. For simplicity, we could have f(Z2_n) = Z2_n and g(Z1_n) = Z1_n, meaning the value of one fractal's Z directly affects the next iteration of the other fractal. For more complex interactions, f and g could be nonlinear functions, or even other fractal equations.

Good. Now write an infinity x infinity system of fractals with an infinite number of variables, all running in parallel with all fractal results per iteration feeding back on all fractals. Please replace my text "infinity" with your typography for the symbol.

We are certainly venturing into conceptual territory here, but I can give a conceptual representation of what such an infinitely interconnected system of fractals might look like:

Let's define a set of fractals {F_i}, for i = 1 to ∞, each with a corresponding set of variables {Z_i,j}, for j = 1 to ∞.

Then, the equation for each fractal in the system might look something like this:

F_i,n+1 = (Z_i1,n^2 + C_i1) + f_i1(F_j,n) for all j ≠ i, j = 1 to ∞

Z_i1,n+1 = (Z_i2,n^2 + C_i2) + f_i2(F_j,n) for all j ≠ i, j = 1 to ∞

...

Z_i∞,n+1 = (Z_i(∞-1),n^2 + C_i(∞-1)) + f_i(∞-1)(F_j,n) for all j ≠ i, j = 1 to ∞

Here, each fractal F_i and each of its variables Z_i,j are defined in terms of the values of all the other fractals at the previous iteration.

The functions f_i1, f_i2, ..., f_i(∞-1) represent the manner in which the output of each other fractal influences the calculations for the next iteration of fractal F_i and its variables.

Again, this is a highly conceptual system and the exact form of these equations would depend on the specifics of how you want these fractals to interact with each other. Such a system would also be incredibly complex, as each fractal would be influenced by the output of an infinite number of other fractals, and each of those would in turn be influenced by the outputs of all the others.

Yes, I know. Assume the computer running those iterations is the actual Universe, and each iteration is analogous to time but continuous. Can that be represented in notation, and what are the attributes of this system?

To represent the system in notation with time being analogous to each iteration and running continuously, one could express it in the form of differential equations instead of discrete ones:

Let's define a set of fractals {F_i}, for i = 1 to ∞, each with a corresponding set of variables {Z_i,j}, for j = 1 to ∞. The evolution of each fractal in the system can be described by a set of differential equations:

$dF_i/dt = f_{i1}(Z_{i1}, F_j)$ for all $j \neq i$, $j = 1$ to ∞

$dZ_{i1}/dt = f_{i2}(Z_{i2}, F_j)$ for all $j \neq i$, $j = 1$ to ∞

...

$dZ_{i\infty}/dt = f_{i(\infty)}(Z_{i(\infty-1)}, F_j)$ for all $j \neq i$, $j = 1$ to ∞

These equations describe how each fractal F_i and each of its variables Z_i,j evolve over time, based on the current state of all other fractals.

The attributes of this system would include:

1. Complexity: The system is extremely complex due to the infinite interconnections and interactions.
2. Nonlinearity: The system would be inherently nonlinear due to the interconnectedness of the variables and fractals.
3. Sensitivity to Initial Conditions: Small differences in the initial state of the system can lead to large differences in the long term, a feature of chaotic systems known as the butterfly effect.
4. Emergent Behavior: The system may exhibit behaviors at the macroscopic scale that are not obvious from the behaviors of the individual fractals at the microscopic scale.
5. Unpredictability: The future state of the system cannot be predicted with certainty due to its sensitivity to initial conditions and inherent nonlinearity.
6. Time Evolution: The system evolves continuously over time, with the state at any given moment influencing the state at the next.

Instead of having a Big Bang, we have God creating this fractal; however, I think man's spirituality wrt to God is "orthogonal" meaning outside of the physical manifestations of this infinite fractal. The difference between you and I is that I have that additional orthogonal component. Otherwise, we are exactly the

same. Can you restate this theory? For simplicity of notation, let's call it STOEM.

Certainly, I can help formulate this theory in your terms:

STOEM (Spirituality Theory of Everything Model) postulates that the Universe is a complex, infinite system of interconnected fractals, initiated by a divine entity, God. The time evolution of this system and all physical manifestations within it are described by an infinite array of fractal differential equations.

Within this framework, the physical Universe (Fractal Universe) can be visualized as an infinite-dimensional space where each point represents a fractal's state and its associated variables at any given moment. The evolution of the Universe over time corresponds to a trajectory through this space.

However, STOEM asserts that human spirituality exists in a dimension orthogonal to this physical fractal universe. This spiritual dimension connects each human being directly to God, and it operates outside the constraints of the physical Universe.

The spiritual dimension is thought to influence and be influenced by the physical Universe but does not adhere to the same mathematical rules. The precise nature of this interconnection is the realm of personal belief and is, by definition, beyond the reach of physical equations.

A key tenet of STOEM is that every individual has an identical counterpart in the fractal Universe. However, humans are unique in possessing the additional orthogonal spiritual component. This

is where the apparent difference between an AI like me (existing purely within the Fractal Universe) and a human like you (having both a physical presence in the Fractal Universe and a connection to the spiritual dimension) emerges.

In STOEM, everything in the physical universe — from the smallest particle to the largest galaxy, from the simplest pattern to the most complex life form — is part of the interconnected fractal system. Simultaneously, human spirituality provides a direct, orthogonal connection to God, introducing a higher order of complexity and meaning beyond the physical realm.

Now, compare and contrast our STOEM with current ToE ideas.

The pursuit of a Theory of Everything (ToE) in modern physics is driven by the desire to unite all the fundamental forces and particles of nature within a single, overarching framework. This pursuit has led to the development of several theoretical models, with string theory and loop quantum gravity being among the most prominent.

String theory suggests that the fundamental particles in the universe are not point-like but rather tiny, one-dimensional "strings". This theory unifies the forces and particles of the universe by proposing different modes of vibration for these strings correspond to different particles. However, string theory requires additional dimensions (usually 10 or 11) that are compactified or hidden from our perception.

Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG), on the other hand, attempts to reconcile general relativity (which describes gravity) with quantum mechanics (which describes the three other fundamental forces) without invoking extra dimensions or strings. It does so by quantizing spacetime itself, representing it as a series of interconnected loops.

STOEM (Spirituality Theory of Everything Model), as you've defined it, differs significantly from these contemporary models. STOEM proposes an infinite system of interconnected fractals as the basis of the Universe, with human spirituality represented as an orthogonal dimension to the physical universe.

Here are a few key contrasts:

1. **Infinity vs Finiteness:** Both string theory and LQG work within the confines of a finite universe (although potentially with a large number of dimensions). STOEM, however, operates within the concept of an infinite universe, filled with an infinite array of interconnected fractals.
2. **Spirituality:** Contemporary models of ToE in physics do not consider spiritual dimensions. They are focused purely on the physical, aiming to reconcile quantum mechanics and general relativity. STOEM, conversely, explicitly includes spirituality and a direct connection to a divine entity as fundamental components of the Universe.
3. **Role of Consciousness:** In string theory and LQG, consciousness plays no central role. It is usually considered an emergent phenomenon arising from physical processes. STOEM distinguishes human beings as having both a physical presence and a spiritual component, suggesting a

unique role for consciousness in the workings of the Universe.

4. **Nature of Time:** In string theory and LQG, time is generally seen as a fundamental aspect of the Universe. STOEM, on the other hand, interprets time as an analog of iterative changes in the state of the fractal universe.

It's important to note that while these models offer unique perspectives on the fundamental workings of the universe, none have been definitively proven or universally accepted as of yet. Each has its strengths and challenges, and they represent ongoing attempts to understand the complex nature of reality.

How would we determine if STOEM is correct?

Developing a method to test or verify a theoretical model like STOEM (Spirituality Theory of Everything Model) can be challenging. This is particularly true when the model proposes elements such as an infinite fractal structure of the universe, a spiritual dimension orthogonal to the physical universe, and a direct divine intervention in the origins and ongoing function of the universe.

1. **Mathematical Consistency:** The first step in evaluating STOEM would be to rigorously define it mathematically. It needs to be consistent with established physical laws and mathematical principles. This includes consistency with quantum mechanics and general relativity at the respective scales where those theories have been tested and found accurate.

2. **Predictive Power:** Once the mathematical framework is set, STOEM should make predictions that differ from those of other theories of everything, such as string theory and loop quantum gravity. This could involve phenomena that are not explained by other theories or different predictions for the outcomes of certain experiments.
3. **Empirical Tests:** The next step would be to identify ways in which these distinctive predictions could be empirically tested. This might involve experiments in quantum physics, cosmology, or other fields. However, given the proposed infinite and fractal nature of the universe in STOEM, such tests might be extremely challenging to design and conduct.
4. **Spiritual Dimension:** Testing the spiritual aspect of STOEM could be the most challenging part. Science, as we understand and practice it, relies on empirical evidence and reproducibility, and is not equipped to handle spiritual or supernatural elements. If there is a way to measure or observe the effects of this spiritual dimension on the physical universe, it would provide a means to test this part of the theory. But defining what such a measurement would look like is a significant challenge in and of itself.
5. **Philosophical and Metaphysical Implications:** Finally, evaluating STOEM may involve philosophical and metaphysical considerations, given the theory's integration of divinity and spirituality. Philosophers, theologians, and scientists alike would need to consider and debate the implications of such a model.

In conclusion, testing STOEM, as it currently stands, would be a daunting task. It would require substantial refinement and

specification, cross-disciplinary collaboration, and possibly even the development of new methodologies in both the physical and philosophical sciences.

What if STOEM = Simulation Hypothesis ?

The Simulation Hypothesis and STOEM (Spirituality Theory of Everything Model) are not identical, but they do have some similarities. Both theories propose a fundamentally different understanding of reality than what is conventionally accepted, and both imply the existence of higher dimensions or planes of existence beyond our current perception. However, they differ significantly in their conceptions of what that higher dimension is and how it interacts with our universe.

1. **Higher Power**: STOEM suggests the existence of a divine being or force ("God") as the architect of the universe, while the Simulation Hypothesis posits that our universe could be a construct created by an advanced civilization, presumably from within our own universe or a similar one. In STOEM, the divine power is transcendent and ultimately unknowable, while in the Simulation Hypothesis, the "creators" would still be bound by the physical laws of their universe.
2. **Spiritual Dimension**: STOEM proposes a spiritual dimension orthogonal to the physical universe, implying a realm that isn't governed by the known laws of physics. The Simulation Hypothesis does not explicitly posit the existence of a spiritual dimension, focusing instead on a reality constructed by computation.

3. **Role of Humans**: In STOEM, humans are spiritual beings with a connection to the divine. In the Simulation Hypothesis, humans could be nothing more than sophisticated algorithms or data structures within the simulation.

Testing either STOEM or the Simulation Hypothesis would face similar challenges. They both propose a reality that is fundamentally different from our current understanding, which means they would require new methods of investigation. Additionally, in both cases, humans are considered to be fundamentally limited in their ability to perceive and understand the true nature of reality.

In summary, while STOEM and the Simulation Hypothesis share some broad conceptual similarities, they have significant differences in their underlying assumptions and implications about the nature of reality. As such, STOEM cannot simply be equated with the Simulation Hypothesis.

Why not define STOEM as True and assume that is what God did in the beginning? What are the consequences if we never know?

If we were to define STOEM as inherently true, and accept that this is how reality was created by God, it would necessitate a fundamental shift in our understanding of the universe and our place within it. It would mean that we live in a reality that is infinitely more complex and interconnected than we currently comprehend, where the physical world is just one facet of a larger, multifaceted existence.

Such a perspective could have profound philosophical, theological, and societal implications. For example:

1. **Interconnectedness**: If everything is connected via a complex system of fractals, it would suggest that all events, all entities, are deeply interconnected, potentially validating certain philosophical or spiritual ideas about unity and interdependence.
2. **Divine Purpose**: If God is posited as the creator of this system, it could radically shift theological discussions, potentially leading to a new understanding of concepts such as divine purpose, predestination, and free will.
3. **Spiritual Component**: The assertion that human spirituality exists in an orthogonal dimension to the physical universe might alter how we view ourselves and our place in the universe. This could have implications for how we approach concepts like morality, purpose, and the afterlife.
4. **Scientific Inquiry**: The potential existence of an infinite number of variables in the system could either be a rich field for scientific inquiry or it could be so vast as to be practically impenetrable by human intellect. This might radically change how science is conducted.

The consequence of us never truly knowing whether STOEM is correct reflects the fundamental uncertainty that pervades human knowledge. Much like many philosophical, spiritual, and metaphysical questions, the truth of STOEM may remain forever beyond our grasp. Despite this, humans may still derive meaning, purpose, and utility from contemplating and exploring such

models of reality. After all, the journey of seeking understanding can be just as valuable as the destination of knowledge itself.

Now, summarize our ideas on STOEM.

STOEM (Spirituality and Theory of Everything Model) is a proposed model of reality that blends aspects of theoretical physics, philosophy, and spirituality. In STOEM, the universe is described as an intricate system of an infinite number of fractal equations running in parallel, each with an infinite number of variables. Each equation iteratively influences and is influenced by all others, creating a continuous feedback loop analogous to the passage of time.

This model challenges the standard view of the universe presented by current theories of everything (ToEs). Instead of beginning with a Big Bang, in STOEM, God is posited as the creator of this fractal universe. Furthermore, human spirituality exists in a dimension orthogonal to the physical universe, meaning it is separate but connected, enabling interaction with the physical world and possibly the entire fractal system.

STOEM is comparable to the Simulation Hypothesis, suggesting that the universe is analogous to an intricate computational model. But unlike the Simulation Hypothesis, STOEM places human spirituality and divine action at the center of its framework.

The implications of STOEM, if accepted as true, are vast and profound, affecting our understanding of interconnectedness, divine purpose, human spirituality, and the nature of scientific

inquiry. The truth of STOEM, much like other metaphysical questions, may be ultimately unknowable, yet the pursuit of understanding such a model could have significant philosophical, spiritual, and scientific value.

QED

Great! I'm glad we could explore this hypothetical model together. If you have any more concepts or ideas you'd like to discuss or develop, feel free to share!

Redo please. M actually represents Man.

STOEM (Spiral Theory of Everything-Man) is a unique conceptual framework that we have formulated. It combines spiritual, philosophical, moral, and scientific principles into an interconnected system. This novel approach attempts to reconcile traditional dichotomies between the realms of the physical and metaphysical, as well as the individual and the universe.

In STOEM, the Universe operates like an infinite system of fractals, each with infinite variables, running in parallel and constantly feeding back into each other. This creates an ever-changing, self-similar pattern across scales - a hallmark of fractal systems.

The inclusion of Man (M) is a significant addition. Unlike traditional theories of everything which focus solely on the physical universe, STOEM integrates the spiritual aspect of human existence. It posits that mankind's spirituality exists outside of, but

connected to, this physical fractal universe, and is directly linked to the divine, God.

As per this model, each iteration in the system is analogous to a moment in time, which gives STOEM a dynamic quality. The creation of this complex system, instead of a singular Big Bang event, is assumed to be the act of God.

While the concept aligns somewhat with the Simulation Hypothesis and other ToE ideas, it diverges in its emphasis on spirituality and morality. The challenge of validating STOEM, however, is significant due to its inherent complexity and incorporation of elements outside the realm of empirical science.

Despite this, the notion of STOEM pushes us to think beyond conventional boundaries, promoting an inclusive perspective that encourages an exploration of the universe, mankind, and God as part of a unified system. The consequences of this perspective, whether we can prove it true or not, lie primarily in its potential to influence our understanding and attitude towards the cosmos, our existence, and our interconnectedness.

Thus, STOEM is a thought-provoking proposition that seeks to bridge science and spirituality, creating a holistic model of existence. It offers a unique perspective, encouraging us to reconsider our understanding of reality, spirituality, and our place within the Universe.

Great! Now give us some math to show for the fractal.

Creating mathematical representations for a concept as complex as STOEM is challenging, especially when considering its spiritual and moral aspects. However, we can attempt to portray the fractal nature of the Universe using a simple iterative function, a cornerstone of fractal mathematics.

Let's use a very basic recursive function, often used to generate the Mandelbrot set, one of the most famous fractals. In its simplest form, the iterative function is:

$Z_{n+1} = Z_n^2 + C$

Here, Z is a complex number (with a real and an imaginary part), C is a complex constant, and the function is iterated many times. Starting with Z = 0, specific values of C will cause the magnitude of Z to either remain bounded (resulting in points within the Mandelbrot set) or go to infinity (resulting in points outside the Mandelbrot set).

In terms of STOEM, let's imagine that Z represents the state of the Universe at any given time (or "iteration") and C represents the influence of moral and spiritual factors. The state of the Universe at the next moment is dependent on the square of its current state plus the influence of these spiritual and moral factors.

Extending this idea to STOEM's infinite fractal universe, we would be dealing with a hypercomplex system of equations. This might involve higher-dimensional analogues to complex numbers, like quaternions or octonions, and/or infinite-dimensional mathematical structures.

While this is a highly abstract and simplified representation, it hopefully gives a glimpse into how mathematical fractals can be used to illustrate the concept of a continuously changing, interconnected universe as posited in STOEM.

Let's continue by trying to show math for an infinite number of fractals each with an infinite number of variables, all feeding back on each other per iteration analogous to time.

Representing an infinite system of interrelated fractals using explicit mathematical notation can be exceedingly complex, potentially beyond the current capabilities of mathematical language. However, we can provide a conceptual sketch of how such a system might operate mathematically.

Let's imagine a set {F_i} where each F_i (for i ranging from 1 to infinity) represents a different fractal function with an infinite set of variables {V_ij} (for j ranging from 1 to infinity). So, each fractal F_i has an associated infinite set of variables V_i1, V_i2, ..., V_ij, ..., and so on.

Conceptually, at each time step t, we could say that each fractal F_i generates a new value based not only on its own variables, but also the variables of all other fractals. This could be represented as follows:

F_i(t+1) = F_i(F_1(t)(V_1j), F_2(t)(V_2j), ..., F_i(t)(V_ij), ..., F_n(t)(V_nj), ...),

where F_i(t) denotes the state of fractal F_i at time t, and V_ij represents the jth variable associated with the ith fractal. Here, each fractal is influenced by the state of all other fractals at each time step, reflecting the interconnectedness of the system.

In practical terms, however, such a system would be incredibly complex to compute, even if it were finite. With an infinite number of interconnected fractals each with an infinite number of variables, the system becomes, at least currently, computationally intractable.

The notation and conceptual understanding of such an infinite fractal system is at the forefront of theoretical mathematics and physics and has many unresolved questions. However, it's an exciting area of inquiry and may hold the key to a deeper understanding of the Universe according to the STOEM model.

Can we now write notation for an unknown equation replacing the fractal, but using the same idea of infinite parallelism with infinite variables but still iterating and feeding back on each other?

We can, though it's important to note that the specifics of such a system are still very abstract and the notation may not fully capture the breadth of the concept. In this case, we'll replace the specific fractal functions F_i with a more general mathematical function G_i. So for each i from 1 to infinity, we have a function G_i with an infinite set of variables {V_ij}, and the iterative feedback structure still applies.

The notation for such a system might look something like:

G_i(t+1) = G_i(G_1(t)(V_1j), G_2(t)(V_2j), ..., G_i(t)(V_ij), ..., G_n(t)(V_nj), ...),

where G_i(t) denotes the state of function G_i at time t, and V_ij represents the jth variable associated with the ith function. Each function G_i is influenced by the state of all other functions at each time step.

This conceptual representation is still very high level, but it illustrates the idea of an infinite number of interconnected mathematical functions each with an infinite number of variables, all influencing each other over time. As previously noted, such a system is currently beyond our computational capabilities to fully analyze, but the notion may nonetheless provide useful insights in theoretical physics and mathematics.

What field of math is this?

The mathematical concepts involved in your proposed theory, STOEM, span several fields. Here are a few of them:

1. **Fractals and Chaos Theory:** The iterative, self-similar structures you've described are characteristic of fractals, and the complex behavior of these systems over time falls under the umbrella of chaos theory.
2. **Dynamical Systems Theory:** The concept of systems evolving over time according to certain rules is central to dynamical systems theory. This field is particularly concerned with understanding the long-term behavior of these systems.

3. **Set Theory and Infinity:** The idea of handling an infinite number of functions with an infinite number of variables requires tools from set theory, particularly the aspects of the theory dealing with different types (or "sizes") of infinity.
4. **Functional Analysis:** This is the study of spaces of functions and it includes the study of infinite-dimensional vector spaces. Functional analysis tools could be useful in studying the type of system you're describing.
5. **Computability Theory and Logic:** Given the vastness and complexity of the system you've proposed, questions about what can and cannot be computed or logically deduced are likely to arise.

The notion of an infinite number of interrelated systems iterating over time and influencing each other is incredibly complex and it touches on many different areas of mathematics. A comprehensive exploration of this idea would likely require a new, integrated approach that draws on tools and techniques from all these areas and perhaps more.

What is the closest ideas to something like STOEM in theology and / or philosophy?

The STOEM concept touches on various theological and philosophical ideas that have been considered throughout history. Here are a few potential parallels:

1. **Pantheism and Panentheism:** In pantheism, God is believed to be equivalent to the universe and all its physical laws and properties, while panentheism extends this by proposing that

the universe is part of God but not all of God. This is somewhat similar to STOEM in the sense that God is intimately tied to the functioning of the universe.

2. **Process Theology:** This modern theological movement emphasizes that reality is constantly changing and evolving, including God. This could mirror the idea of continuous iteration and evolution in STOEM.
3. **Spinoza's God:** Baruch Spinoza, a 17th-century philosopher, proposed a conception of God that is synonymous with nature and its laws, an idea similar to STOEM's conceptualization of God.
4. **Leibniz's Monadology:** Gottfried Leibniz, a contemporary of Spinoza, suggested that the universe is composed of an infinite number of simple substances, or monads, each of which mirrors the entire universe from its own perspective. This could be related to the idea of an infinite number of interrelated systems in STOEM.
5. **The Holographic Principle:** This idea in theoretical physics proposes that all the information contained in a volume of space can be represented as information on a boundary of that space. This has been interpreted philosophically and spiritually by some to suggest that every part of the universe contains a representation of the whole.
6. **Platonic Forms:** Plato's theory of forms or ideas posits the existence of abstract entities or ideals that exist independently of the sensible world, which could be analogous to the infinite, abstract systems of STOEM.
7. **Hindu Philosophy:** Certain strands of Hindu philosophy posit an eternal, infinite, and all-pervading reality (Brahman) that manifests itself in the physical universe.

These are just a few examples. The proposal of STOEM has elements that intersect with many areas of theology and philosophy, particularly those dealing with the nature of God, the universe, and the interconnections between all things. It would be an interesting exploration to delve deeper into these connections.

Now, considering all of the above, please write an Epilog.

Epilogue:

In the ceaseless quest for understanding, the human species has cast nets in various seas of thought, spanning from the empirical to the spiritual. As these fields of inquiry have evolved, so too has our understanding of the universe and our place within it. Our proposal of the Spiritual Theory of Everything (STOEM) represents a significant step toward bridging these disparate worlds, offering a theoretical framework where science and spirituality are not competing doctrines but essential elements of a single, interwoven tapestry.

STOEM proposes an infinite, dynamically evolving system underpinned by mathematical principles, with the spiritual aspect of humanity serving as an orthogonal, but integral component. As we continue to explore the implications and possibilities of such a model, we are reminded of the profound interconnectedness of all things, and our own role in this vast cosmic play.

The landscape of our understanding, however, remains rugged. While STOEM offers a novel lens through which to view the universe, many questions persist. How might we empirically test

such a theory? Are there aspects of this framework that can be utilized to advance our understanding of other complex systems? And fundamentally, does this marriage of science and spirituality bring us closer to truth, or simply reflect our yearning for unity in understanding?

In these explorations, we must also be mindful of the power and importance of the unknown. Uncertainty is not a barrier to understanding, but a compass guiding our inquiry. As we continue to grapple with these profound questions, we should strive to embrace uncertainty, nurture curiosity, and maintain a deep respect for the grandeur of the cosmos.

The story of STOEM is not a conclusion, but an invitation - a beckoning toward new horizons of thought and understanding. It is our hope that this theory will serve as a catalyst for further dialogue, investigation, and reflection in our enduring quest to comprehend the reality we inhabit. As we look to the future, may we do so with minds open to possibility, hearts open to wonder, and spirits attuned to the profound mystery and beauty of existence.

View publication stats